arXiv:1210.3127v2 [math.RA] 16 Oct 2012

K-THEORETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF GRADED ISOMORPHISMS BETWEEN LEAVITT PATH ALGEBRAS

P. ARA AND E. PARDO

Abstract. In [16], Hazrat gave a K-Theoretic invariant for Leavitt path algebras as graded algebras. Hazrat conjectured that this invariant classifies Leavitt path algebras up to graded isomorphism, and proved the conjecture in some cases. In this paper, we prove that the conjecture holds for all finite graphs with neither sources nor sinks.

1. Introduction

Leavitt path algebras of row-finite graphs have been introduced in [1] and [7]. They have become a subject of significant interest, both for algebraists and for analysts working in C*-algebras. The Cuntz-Krieger algebras $C^*(E)$ (the C*-algebra counterpart of these Leavitt path algebras) are described in [24]. While sharing some striking similarities, the algebraic and analytic theories present some remarkable differences, as has been shown for instance in [26], [8], [5] (see also [10]).

For a field K, the algebras $L_K(E)$ are natural generalizations of the algebras investigated by Leavitt in [19], and are a specific type of path K-algebras associated to a graph E (modulo certain relations). The family of algebras which can be realized as the Leavitt path algebras of a graph includes matrix rings $\mathbb{M}_n(K)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ (where $\mathbb{M}_\infty(K)$ denotes matrices of countable size with only a finite number of nonzero entries), the Toeplitz algebra, the Laurent polynomial ring $K[x, x^{-1}]$, and the classical Leavitt algebras $L(1, n)$ for $n \geq 2$. Constructions such as direct sums, direct limits, and matrices over the previous examples can be also realized in this setting. But, in addition to the fact that these structures indeed contain many well-known algebras, one of the main interests in their study is the comfortable pictorial representations that their corresponding graphs provide.

A great deal of effort has been focused on trying to unveil the algebraic structure of $L_K(E)$ via the graph nature of E. Concretely, the literature on Leavitt path algebras includes necessary and sufficient conditions on a graph E so that the corresponding Leavitt path algebra $L_K(E)$ is simple [1], purely infinite simple [2] or exchange [9]. Another remarkable approach has been the research of their monoids of finitely generated projective modules $V(L_K(E))$ [7] and the computation of its algebraic K-Theory [4]. The availability of these data, and the tight connection between properties of Leavitt path algebras and those of

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16D70; Secondary 46L55.

Key words and phrases. Leavitt path algebra, graph C^*-algebra, graded algebra, K-Theory.

The first author was partially supported by the DGI and European Regional Development Fund, jointly, through Project MTM2011-28992-C02-01. The second author was partially supported by the DGI and European Regional Development Fund, jointly, through Project MTM2011-28992-C02-02, and by PAI III grants FQM-298 and P07-FQM-7156 of the Junta de Andalucía. Both authors are partially supported by 2009 SGR 1389 grant of the Comissionat per Universitats i Recerca de la Generalitat de Catalunya.

graph C^*-algebras suggested the convenience of studying classification results for (purely infinite simple) Leavitt path algebras using K-Theoretical invariants, in a similar way to that of [18, 23] for the case of Kirchberg algebras, and in particular for purely infinite simple graph C^*-algebras. In this direction, some initial advances have been done in [3], but at this moment there is no classification result available yet.

Very recently, Hazrat [16, 17] did an approach to the classification problem when the equivalence relation considered is that of graded isomorphisms between algebras. After introducing a suitable notion of graded K_0-group, he proved that for a strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra A the groups $K_0^{gr}(A)$ and $K_0(A_0)$ have a natural structure of $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$-module, and also that, thanks to Dade's Theorem, we have $K_0^{gr}(A) \cong K_0(A_0)$ as ordered $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$-modules. Hazrat conjectures in [16, Conjecture 1] that, given row-finite graphs E and F, we have that $L(E) \cong_{gr} L(F)$ if and only if there is an order-preserving $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$-module isomorphism $(K_0^{gr}(L(E)), [1_{L(E)}]) \cong (K_0^{gr}(L(F)), [1_{L(F)}])$. Moreover, he proves that the conjecture holds for finite graphs being acyclic, comet or, more generally, polycephaly [16, Theorem 9]. In this paper we prove that Hazrat's conjecture holds for finite graphs with neither sinks nor sources. We also solve [16, Conjecture 3] for the same class of graphs (Corollary 4.7).

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 includes the basic definitions and examples that will be used throughout. In Section 3 we will show that Hazrat's invariant is equivalent to two other K-Theoretic invariants, and also to an invariant used for classifying subshifts of finite type (see [27]). In Section 4 we state the main result of the paper and we fix the strategy to prove it; we delay the proof to Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we obtain classification results for graph C^*-algebras which are analogous to those of Section 4.

2. Preliminares

We briefly recall some graph-theoretic definitions and properties; more complete explanations and descriptions can be found in [1]. A *graph* $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ consists of two sets E^0, E^1 and maps $r, s : E^1 \to E^0$. (Some authors use the phrase 'directed' graph for this structure.) The elements of E^0 are called *vertices* and the elements of E^1 *edges*. And edge $e \in E^1$ is said to point from $s(e)$ to $r(e)$. If $s^{-1}(v)$ is a finite set for every $v \in E^0$, then the graph is called *row-finite*. A vertex v for which $s^{-1}(v)$ is empty is called a *sink*; a vertex w for which $r^{-1}(w)$ is empty is called a *source*. If F is a subgraph of E, then F is called *complete* in case $s_F^{-1}(v) = s_E^{-1}(v)$ for every $v \in F^0$ having $s_F^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset$.

A *path* μ in a graph E is a sequence of edges $\mu = e_1 \dots e_n$ such that $r(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. In this case, $s(\mu) := s(e_1)$ is the *source* of μ, $r(\mu) := r(e_n)$ is the *range* of μ, and n is the *length* of μ. An edge e is an *exit* for a path $\mu = e_1 \dots e_n$ if there exists i such that $s(e) = s(e_i)$ and $e \neq e_i$. If μ is a path in E, and if $v = s(\mu) = r(\mu)$, then μ is called a *closed path based at* v. If $\mu = e_1 \dots e_n$ is a closed path based at $v = s(\mu)$ and $s(e_i) \neq s(e_j)$ for every $i \neq j$, then μ is called a *cycle*.

The following notation is standard. Let A be a $p \times p$ matrix having non-negative integer entries (i.e., $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathrm{M}_p(\mathbb{Z}^+)$). The graph E_A is defined by setting $(E_A)^0 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$, and defining $(E_A)^1$ by inserting exactly a_{ij} edges in E_A having source vertex v_i and range vertex v_j. Conversely, if E is a finite graph with vertices $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_p\}$, then we define the *adjacency matrix* A_E *of* E by setting $(A_E)_{ij}$ as the number of edges in E having source vertex v_i and range vertex v_j.

Definition 2.1. Let E be any row-finite graph, and K any field. The *Leavitt path K-algebra $L_K(E)$ of E with coefficients in K* is the K-algebra generated by a set $\{v \mid v \in E^0\}$ of pairwise orthogonal idempotents, together with a set of variables $\{e, e^* \mid e \in E^1\}$, which satisfy the following relations:

(1) $s(e)e = er(e) = e$ for all $e \in E^1$.

(2) $r(e)e^* = e^*s(e) = e^*$ for all $e \in E^1$.

(3) (The "CK1 relations") $e^*e' = \delta_{e,e'}r(e)$ for all $e, e' \in E^1$.

(4) (The "CK2 relations") $v = \sum_{\{e\in E^1 | s(e)=v\}} ee^*$ for every vertex $v \in E^0$ for which $s^{-1}(v)$ is nonempty.

When the role of the coefficient field K is not central to the discussion, we will often denote $L_K(E)$ simply by $L(E)$. The set $\{e^* \mid e \in E^1\}$ will be denoted by $(E^1)^*$. We let $r(e^*)$ denote $s(e)$, and we let $s(e^*)$ denote $r(e)$. If $\mu = e_1 \dots e_n$ is a path, then we denote by μ^* the element $e_n^* \dots e_1^*$ of $L_K(E)$.

An alternate description of $L_K(E)$ is given in [1], where it is described in terms of a free associative algebra modulo the appropriate relations indicated in Definition 2.1 above. As a consequence, if A is any K-algebra which contains a set of elements satisfying these same relations (we call such a set an *E-family*), then there is a (unique) K-algebra homomorphism from $L_K(E)$ to A mapping the generators of $L_K(E)$ to their appropriate counterparts in A.

If E is a finite graph then $L_K(E)$ is unital, with $\sum_{v\in E^0} v = 1_{L_K(E)}$. Conversely, if $L_K(E)$ is unital, then E^0 is finite. If E^0 is infinite then $L_K(E)$ is a ring with a set of local units; one such set of local units consists of sums of distinct elements of E^0. There is a canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $L_K(E)$, which is given by $L_K(E) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_K(E)_n$, where, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *degree n* component $L_K(E)_n$ is spanned by elements of the form $\{pq^* \mid \text{length}(p) - \text{length}(q) = n\}$. The set of *homogeneous elements* is $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_K(E)_n$, and an element of $L_K(E)_n$ is said to be *n-homogeneous* or *homogeneous of degree n*. The K-linear extension of the assignment $pq^* \mapsto qp^*$ (for p, q paths in E) yields an involution on $L_K(E)$, which we denote simply as *. Information regarding the "C*-algebra of a graph", also known as the "Cuntz-Krieger graph C*-algebra", may be found in [24]. In particular, the graph C^*-algebra $C^*(E)$ of a graph E is the completion of $L_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$ in a suitable norm [24].

Recall that for a ring R, we denote by $K_0(R)$ the Grothendieck group of R. This is the group F/S, where F is the free group generated by isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective left R-modules, and S is the subgroup of F generated by symbols of the form $[P \oplus Q] - [P] - [Q]$. As is standard, we denote the isomorphism class of R in $K_0(R)$ by $[1_R]$; we will call it the order-unit of the K_0-group. The group $K_0(R)$ is the universal group of the monoid $V(R)$ of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective left R-modules (with binary operation in $V(R)$ given by $[A] + [B] = [A \oplus B]$).

For a row-finite graph E, the *monoid of* E, denoted M_E, is the monoid generated by the set E^0 of vertices of E modulo appropriate relations, specifically,

$$M_E = \langle a_v, v \in E^0 \mid a_v = \sum_{\{e\in s^{-1}(v)\}} a_{r(e)} \rangle.$$

It is shown in [7, Theorem 2.5] that $V(L(E)) \cong M_E$ for any row-finite graph E. This yields $K_0(L(E)) \cong \text{Grot}(M_E) := G$, where $\text{Grot}(M_E)$ denotes the universal group of the monoid M_E. If E is finite, then M_E is finitely generated, hence so is its universal group G. Thus G

admits a presentation $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to G$ (an epimorphism). Here $\ker(\pi)$ is the subgroup of relations, which in this setting corresponds to the image of the group homomorphism $I - A_E^t : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$, where A_E^t is the transpose of the incidence matrix A_E of E. Hence we get

$$K_0(L(E)) \cong G \cong \mathbb{Z}^n/\ker(\pi) = \mathbb{Z}^n/\mathrm{im}(I - A_E^t) = \mathrm{coker}(I - A_E^t).$$

Moreover, under this isomorphism the element $[1_{L(E)}]$ is represented by $(1, 1, ..., 1)^t + \mathrm{im}(I - A_E^t)$ in $\mathrm{coker}(I - A_E^t)$.

Now, we will recall the notion of fractional skew monoid ring [6] in the particular case of the monoid being $\mathbb{Z}^+$. Let A be a unital ring, let $p^2 = p \in A$ be an idempotent, and let $\alpha : A \to pAp$ by an isomorphism. Then the fractional skew monoid ring of A over $\mathbb{Z}^+$ by α is the ring R generated by an isomorphic copy of A and two generators t_+, t_- satisfying the following relations:

(1) $t_-t_+ = 1$ and $t_+t_- = p$;
(2) $t_+^n a = \alpha^n(a)t_+^n$ for all $a \in A, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$;
(3) $at_-^n = t_-^n\alpha^n(a)$ for all $a \in A, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

We denote $R := A[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$. By [6, 2.2] the elements $r \in R$ can all be written as 'polynomials' of the form

$$r = a_n t_+^n + \ldots + a_1 t_+ + a_0 + t_- a_{-1} + \ldots t_-^m a_{-m},$$

with coefficients $a_i \in A$. By [6, Proposition 1.6], R is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} R_i$, with $R_i = At_+^i$ for $i > 0$ and $R_i = t_-^{-i}A$ for $i < 0$, while $A_0 = A$. This construction is an exact algebraic analog of the construction of the crossed product of a C*-algebra by an endomorphism introduced by Paschke [22]. In fact, if A is a C*-algebra and the corner isomorphism α is a *-homomorphism, then Paschke's C*-crossed product, which he denotes by $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{N}$, is just the completion of $A[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ in a suitable norm. If E is a finite graph with no sources, then it is known that $L(E) = L(E)_0[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ for suitable elements $t_+, t_- \in L(E)$, being α the corner isomorphism of $L(E)_0$ defined by the rule $\alpha(a) = t_+at_-$ for all $a \in L(E)_0$ [12].

3. Equivalent forms of Hazrat's invariant

In this section, we will relate the invariant proposed by Hazrat for classifying Leavitt path algebras up to graded isomorphism to a couple of K-Theoretic invariants, one of them related to the classification of subshifts of finite type.

Recall that, by [16, 2.5], if A is a G-graded ring, then the graded Grothendieck group $K_0^{gr}(A)$ is defined as the universal enveloping group of the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of graded finitely generated projective modules over A. Also, for $g \in G$, the g-suspension functor $\mathcal{T}_g : \mathrm{gr} - A \to \mathrm{gr} - A$ (defined by the rule $\mathcal{T}_g(M) = M(g)$) is an isomorphism with the property that $\mathcal{T}_g\mathcal{T}_h = \mathcal{T}_{gh}$ for $g, h \in G$. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}_g$ restricts to the category of graded finitely generated projective A-modules, and hence it induces a structure of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module over $K_0^{gr}(A)$ by the rule $g[P] := [\mathcal{T}_g(P)]$.

By Dade's Theorem [21, Theorem 3.1.1], if G is a group and A is a strongly G-graded ring, then the functor $(-)_0 : \mathrm{gr} - A \to \mathrm{mod} - A_0$ (defined by the rule $M \mapsto M_0$) is an additive functor with inverse $- \otimes_{A_0} A : \mathrm{mod} - A_0 \to \mathrm{gr} - A$, so that it induces an equivalence of categories. Furthermore, since $A_g \otimes_{A_0} A_h \cong A_{gh}$ for $g, h \in G$ as A_0-bimodules, the suspension functor $\mathcal{T}_g : \mathrm{gr}-A \to \mathrm{gr}-A$ induces a functor $\mathcal{T}_g : \mathrm{mod}-A_0 \to \mathrm{mod}-A_0$, given by the rule $M \mapsto M \otimes_{A_0} A_g$, such that $\mathcal{T}_g\mathcal{T}_h = \mathcal{T}_{gh}$ for $g, h \in G$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{gr}-A \arrow[r, "\mathcal{T}_g"] \arrow[d, "(-)_0"'] & \mathrm{gr}-A \arrow[d, "(-)_0"] \\
\mathrm{mod}-A_0 \arrow[r, "\mathcal{T}_g"'] & \mathrm{mod}-A_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore $K_i(A_0)$ is also a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module, and $K_i^{gr}(A) \cong K_i(A_0)$ as $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules for $i \geq 0$.

In the case of Leavitt path algebras, we will specialize the above situation to the natural $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, so that $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ will be $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$, and in particular we only need to take care of $\mathcal{T}_1$ (which represents $x\cdot$) to have a complete picture of the action. Hazrat conjectures that given finite graphs E and F, we have that $L(E) \cong_{gr} L(F)$ if and only if $(K_0^{gr}(L(E)), [1_{L(E)}]) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}[x,x^{-1}]} (K_0^{gr}(L(F)), [1_{L(F)}])$ [16, Conjecture 1]. Moreover, he proves that the conjecture holds for acyclic, comet and polycephaly finite graphs [16, Theorem 5.7].

Because of the restriction to strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded rings, we will need to ask our graphs to contain no sinks [16, Theorem 5.1]. Also, we will consider a different picture of the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded structure of a Leavitt path algebra $L(E)$ over a finite graph E, which links grading with the existence of special non necessarily unital endomorphisms of $L(E)_0$. To be concrete, assume that E is a finite graph that contains no sources. If $E^0 = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, then for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists at least one $e_i \in E^1$ such that $r(e_i) = v_i$. If we define $t_+ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \in L(E)_1$ and $t_- = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^* \in L(E)_{-1}$, then it is easy to see that $t_-t_+ = 1$. Hence, by [6, Lemma 2.4],

$$L(E) = L(E)_0[t_+, t_-; \alpha].$$

Moreover, if $p := t_+t_-$, then $\alpha : L(E)_0 \to pL(E)_0p$ is a corner isomorphism defined by the rule $\alpha(a) = t_+at_-$. Under this picture, $L(E)_n = L(E)_0t_+^n = L(E)_0p_nt_+^n$ and $L(E)_{-n} = t_-^nL(E)_0 = t_-^np_nL(E)_0$ for $n > 0$, where $p_n = \alpha^n(1)$. Moreover, since $L(E)_0$ is a unital ultramatricial algebra (see e.g. [7, Proof of Theorem 5.3]), we can classify it via its Bratteli diagram X_A. This Bratteli diagram can be described fixing at each level of the diagram the same number of vertices, namely $|E^0|$ vertices at each level, while the edges between two different levels are codified by the entries of the adjacency matrix $A := A_E$ of the graph E. Hence, the map $\alpha : L(E)_0 \to pL(E)_0p$ corresponds to the shift map σ which allows to describe (X_A, σ) as the (Markov) subshift of finite type associated to the matrix A (see e.g. [20]).

We will show that the K-Theoretic part of [16, Conjecture 1] can be rephrased in equivalent forms which are more useful to relate the K-Theoretic isomorphisms with the behavior of the graded structure of the Leavitt path algebras involved.

Given any $M \in \mathrm{mod}-L(E)_0$, we will define a right $L(E)_0$-module M^α as follows:

(1) As abelian group, $M^\alpha := Mp$;

(2) The action is defined by the rule

$$\begin{tikzcd} M^{\alpha} \times L(E)_0 \arrow[r] & M^{\alpha} \\ (m,a) \arrow[r, mapsto] & m * a := m\alpha(a) \end{tikzcd}$$

Notice that, whenever $m \in M^{\alpha}$, $m * 1 = m\alpha(1) = m \cdot p = m$, so that M^{α} is a unital module.

Lemma 3.1. *The modules M^{α} and $M \otimes_{L(E)_0} L(E)_0 t_+$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Since $L(E)_0 t_+ = L(E)_0 p t_+$, the module $M \otimes_{L(E)_0} L(E)_0 t_+$ is unital. Moreover,

$$M \otimes_{L(E)_0} L(E)_0 t_+ = M \otimes_{L(E)_0} L(E)_0 p t_+ = Mp \otimes_{L(E)_0} L(E)_0 t_+.$$

Hence, the map

$$\begin{tikzcd} M \otimes_{L(E)_0} L(E)_0 t_+ \arrow[r, "f"] & M^{\alpha} \\ mp \otimes t_+ \arrow[r, mapsto] & mp \end{tikzcd}$$

is a group isomorphism. Also, for any $r \in L(E)_0$,

$$f((mp \otimes t_+)r) = f(mp \otimes \alpha(r)t_+) = m\alpha(r) = mp * r = f(mp \otimes t_+) * r$$

which ends the proof. $\square$

Define $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}_1 : \text{mod} - L(E)_0 \to \text{mod} - L(E)_0$. By Lemma 3.1, the induced isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_* : K_0(L(E))_0) \to K_0(L(E)_0)$$

is given by the rule $\mathcal{T}_*([P]) = [P^{\alpha}]$.

We will make use of the following well-known (and easy to prove) lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let E be a finite graph. Then $p = t_+ t_-$ is a full idempotent in $L(E)_0$ if and only if E does not have sinks.*

Assume that E does not have sinks. Then, it follows from Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $\alpha : L(E)_0 \to pL(E)_0 p$ is an isomorphism that the induced map

$$\alpha_* : K_0(L(E)_0) \to K_0(L(E)_0)$$

is a group isomorphism. Thus, we have the following result:

Lemma 3.3. *If E has neither sources nor sinks, then the maps α_* and $\mathcal{T}_*$ are mutually inverse isomorphisms.*

Proof. Since both maps are group isomorphisms, it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{Id}_{K_0(L(E)_0)} = \mathcal{T}_* \cdot \alpha_*.$$

Let $e^2 = e \in L(E)_0$. Then

$$(\mathcal{T}_* \cdot \alpha_*)([eL(E)_0]) = \mathcal{T}_*([\alpha(e)L(E)_0]) = [(\alpha(e)L(E)_0)^{\alpha}].$$

The map

$$\begin{tikzcd} eL(E)_0 \arrow[r, "g"] & (\alpha(e)L(E)_0)^{\alpha} \\ x \arrow[r, mapsto] & \alpha(x) \end{tikzcd}$$

is well-defined and additive. As $\alpha : L(E)_0 \to pL(E)_0p$ is a corner isomorphism, g is injective. Now, let $y \in (\alpha(e)L(E)_0)^\alpha$. Thus, $y = \alpha(e)rp$ for some $r \in L(E)_0$. Then,

$$y = \alpha(e)rp = \alpha(e \cdot 1)rp = \alpha(e)prp$$

and since $prp \in pL(E)_0p = \alpha(L(E)_0)$, there exists a unique $s \in L(E)_0$ such that $\alpha(s) = prp$, whence

$$\alpha(e)prp = \alpha(e)\alpha(s) = \alpha(es)$$

and hence $y = g(es)$, so that g is onto.

Finally, for any $x \in eL(E)_0$ and any $r \in L(E)_0$,

$$g(xr) = \alpha(xr) = \alpha(x)\alpha(r) = \alpha(x) * r = g(x) * r,$$

Thus $[eL(E)_0] = [(\alpha(e)L(E)_0)^\alpha]$ in $K_0(L(E)_0)$, so that $(\mathcal{T}_* \cdot \alpha_*)([eL(E)_0]) = [e(L(E)_0]$ for all idempotents e in $L(E)_0$. Since $K_0(L(E)_0)$ is generated by the elements $[eL(E)_0]$, for $e = e^2 \in L(E)_0$, we conclude that $\mathcal{T}_*\alpha_* = \mathrm{Id}_{K_0(L(E)_0)}$. □

As a consequence we have the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Let E, F be finite graphs with neither sources nor sinks, and α, β the respective corner isomorphisms. Then, the following are equivalent:*

1. *There exists an isomorphism $\Psi : (K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0)) \to (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0))$ such that $\Psi \cdot (\mathcal{T}_E)_* = (\mathcal{T}_F)_* \cdot \Psi$*
2. *There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : (K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0)) \to (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0))$ such that $\Phi \cdot \alpha_* = \beta_* \cdot \Phi$*
3. *There exists an isomorphism of ordered $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$-modules $K_0^{gr}(L(E)) \cong K_0^{gr}(L(F))$.*

Moreover, the result holds also in the canonical order-unit preserving category. □

Notation. We will denote the condition in Proposition 3.4(1) as

$$(K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0)) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}[x,x^{-1}]} (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0)).$$

and that in Proposition 3.4(2) as

$$(K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0), \alpha_*) \cong (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0), \beta_*).$$

In order to give the next equivalent condition, we need to recall some facts about conjugacy of subshifts of finite type [27]. Recall [20, Definition 7.3.1] that given A, B nonnegative integral square matrices, and $\ell \geq 1$, a shift equivalence of lag ℓ from A to B is a pair of rectangular nonnegative integral matrices (R, S) satisfying the four shift equivalence equations:

1. (i) $AR = RB$; (ii) $SA = BS$.
2. (i) $A^\ell = RS$; (ii) $B^\ell = SR$.

We will denote this equivalence by $A \sim_{SE} B$.

In order to relate this equivalence with our problem, recall that if E is a finite graph with no sinks, and $A := A_E$ denotes the adjacency matrix, then the ultramatricial algebra $L(E)_0$ is a direct limit of matricial algebras $L(E)_{0,n}$ $(n \geq 0)$ whose connecting maps are induced by the (CK2) relation (see e.g. [7, Proof of Theorem 5.3]). Moreover, by the picture of the Bratteli diagram associated to this ultramatricial algebra, $K_0(L(E)_0) \cong \varinjlim(K_0(L(E)_{0,n}), A)$, where $K_0(L(E)_{0,n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^N$ for all $n \geq 0$, being $N = |E^0|$. We will denote $G_m := K_0(L(E)_{0,m})$ $(m \geq 0)$ and $G := K_0(L(E)_0)$. Then, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_0 \arrow[r, "A"] \arrow[d, "A"'] & G_1 \arrow[r, "A"] \arrow[d, "A"'] & G_2 \arrow[r, "A"] \arrow[d, "A"'] & G_3 \arrow[r, dotted] \arrow[d, "A"'] & G \arrow[d, "\delta_A"] \\
G_0 \arrow[r, "A"'] & G_1 \arrow[r, "A"'] & G_2 \arrow[r, "A"'] & G_3 \arrow[r, dotted] & G
\end{tikzcd}$$

that induces a group isomorphism $\delta_A : G \to G$ by multiplication by A on the direct limit.

With that in mind, we can show the following result

Lemma 3.5. *Let E be a finite graph with neither sources nor sinks, let A be the incidence matrix of E, and let δ_A and α_* be the automorphisms of $K_0(L(E)_0)$ defined above. Then, α_* is the inverse of δ_A.*

Proof. Let $G = \varinjlim(G_n, A)$, where $G_n = \mathbb{Z}^{|E^0|}$ for all $n \geq 0$. As $\alpha : L(E)_0 \to L(E)_0$ is induced by the shift, the corresponding map at each step of the direct limit is the identity map $\mathrm{id} : G_k \to G_{k+1}$. Hence, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_0 \arrow[r, "A"] \arrow[d, "A"'] & G_1 \arrow[r, "A"] \arrow[d, "A"'] & G_2 \arrow[r, "A"] \arrow[d, "A"'] & G_3 \arrow[r, dotted] \arrow[d, "A"'] & G \arrow[d, bend left, "\delta_A"] \\
G_0 \arrow[r, "A"'] \arrow[ur, "id"] & G_1 \arrow[r, "A"'] \arrow[ur, "id"] & G_2 \arrow[r, "A"'] \arrow[ur, "id"] & G_3 \arrow[r, dotted] & G \arrow[u, bend left, "\alpha^*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since both maps are automorphisms of $K_0(L(E)_0)$, it suffices to show that $\delta_A \cdot \alpha_* = \mathrm{Id}_G$. Following the diagram,

$$(\delta_A \cdot \alpha_*)(\iota_{\infty,n}(g)) = \delta_A(\alpha((\iota_{\infty,n}(g)))) = \delta_A(\iota_{\infty,n+1}(g)) = \iota_{\infty,n+1}(Ag) = \iota_{\infty,n+1}\iota_{n+1,n}(g) = \iota_{\infty,n}(g),$$

which ends the proof. □

Remark 3.6. It follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 that $\mathcal{T}_* = \delta_A$ when E is a finite graph with neither sources nor sinks. In fact, it is easy to prove directly that this equality holds for any finite graph without sinks.

Also it is important to notice that

$$(K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0), \delta_{A_E}) \cong (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0), \delta_{A_F})$$

is equivalent to $A_E \sim_{SE} A_F$ with lag l for some $l \geq 1$ [20, Theorem 7.5.8]. Now, we can state the main result of this section

Theorem 3.7. *Let E, F be finite graphs with neither sources nor sinks, let α, β the respective corner isomorphisms and let δ_A, δ_B be the automorphisms of $K_0(L(E)_0)$ defined above. Then, the following are equivalent:*

1. *$A \sim_{SE} B$.*
2. *$(K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0)) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}[x,x^{-1}]} (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0))$.*
3. *$(K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0), \alpha_*) \cong (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0), \beta_*)$.*

(4) $(K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0), \delta_A) \cong (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0), \delta_B)$.

(5) *There is an ordered* $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$*-module isomorphism* $K_0^{gr}(L(E)) \cong K_0^{gr}(L(F))$.

Moreover, the equivalences also hold in the canonical order-unit preserving category. □

4. Towards a characterization of graded isomorphisms

The main goal of this section is to fix a strategy to prove [16, Conjecture 1] in the case of finite graphs with neither sinks nor sources. More concretely, we look for a proof for the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *If E, F are finite graphs with neither sources nor sinks, then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $(K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0), [1_{L(E)_0}]) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}[x,x^{-1}]} (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0), [1_{L(F)_0}])$.

(2) $L(E) \cong_{gr} L(F)$.

Proof. (2) ⇒ (1). If $L(E) \cong_{gr} L(F)$, there is an order-unit preserving ordered $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$-module isomorphism $K_0^{gr}(L(E)) \cong K_0^{gr}(L(F))$. So the result follows from Theorem 3.7.

(1) ⇒ (2) will be proven in Section 5. □

Example 4.2. A particular case to apply this argument is the problem of isomorphism between L_2 and L_{2_-} (see e.g. [3, Section 2]). If $L_2 \cong_{\mathrm{gr}} L_{2_-}$, then by Theorem 4.1 (2) ⇒ (1) and Theorem 3.7 the matrices A and B are shift equivalent, and so flow equivalent. By [13] we have $-1 = \det(I - A_2) = \det(I - A_{2_-}) = 1$, which is impossible. Thus, $L_2 \not\cong_{\mathrm{gr}} L_{2_-}$. It is still open to decide the existence of a (nongraded) isomorphism.

The proof of (1) ⇒ (2) is more involved, and we will delay it until next section. We will explain here the strategy we will follow to prove it.

4.3. Starting Data: Let E, F be finite graphs with neither sources nor sinks, such that $N = |E^0|, M = |F^0|$. We fix pictures $L(E) = L(E)_0[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ and $L(F) = L(F)_0[s_+, s_-; \beta]$. Also, we define $A := A_E \in M_N(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ and $B := A_F \in M_M(\mathbb{Z}^+)$, and fix $\delta_A : K_0(L(E)_0) \to K_0(L(E)_0)$ and $\delta_B : K_0(L(F)_0) \to K_0(L(F)_0)$ be the groups isomorphisms given by multiplication by A (respectively B). If we write $L(E)_0 = \varinjlim(L(E)_{0,n}, j^E_{n,m})$ and $L(F)_0 = \varinjlim(L(F)_{0,n}, j^F_{n,m})$ (whose connecting maps are induced by the (CK2) relation on E and F respectively), then we denote $G_m := K_0(L(E)_{0,m})$, $H_m := K_0(L(F)_{0,m})$, $G := K_0(L(E)_0)$ and $H := K_0(L(F)_0)$. Notice that for every $m \geq 0$ we have $G_m \cong \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $H_m \cong \mathbb{Z}^M$, as well as that $G = \varinjlim(G_m, A)$ and $H = \varinjlim(H_m, B)$.

In order to attain our goal, we start by establishing some previous facts. The proof of the next two lemmas is trivial.

Lemma 4.4. *If there exists a nonnegative integer matrix $S \in M_{N',N}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ such that $SA = BS$, then for every $l, k, m \geq 1$ there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & G_{0,l+1} \arrow[rr, "A^k"] \arrow[dd, "S"] & & G_{0,k+l+1} \arrow[dd, "S"] \\
G_{0,l} \arrow[ur, "id_{\mathbb{Z}^N}"] \arrow[rr, "A^k"] \arrow[dd, "S"] & & G_{0,k+l} \arrow[ur, "id_{\mathbb{Z}^N}"] \arrow[dd, "S"] & \\
 & H_{0,m+1} \arrow[rr, "B^k"] & & H_{0,m+k+1} \\
H_{0,m} \arrow[ur, "id_{\mathbb{Z}^{N'}}"] \arrow[rr, "B^k"'] & & H_{0,m+k} \arrow[ur, "id_{\mathbb{Z}^{N'}}"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 4.5. *If there exists a nonnegative integer matrix $R \in M_{N,N'}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ such that $AR = RB$, then for every $l, k, m \geq 1$ there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & G_{0,l+1} \arrow[rr, "A^k"] & & G_{0,k+l+1} \\
G_{0,l} \arrow[ur, "id_{\mathbb{Z}^N}"] \arrow[rr, "A^k"] & & G_{0,k+l} \arrow[ur, "id_{\mathbb{Z}^N}"] & \\
 & H_{0,m+1} \arrow[rr, "B^k"] \arrow[uu, "R"] & & H_{0,m+k+1} \arrow[uu, "R"] \\
H_{0,m} \arrow[ur, "id_{\mathbb{Z}^{N'}}"] \arrow[rr, "B^k"'] \arrow[uu, "R"] & & H_{0,m+k} \arrow[ur, "id_{\mathbb{Z}^{N'}}"] \arrow[uu, "R"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Given $t \geq 0$, we will denote $\Omega_t := id_{\mathbb{Z}^N} : G_{0,t} \to G_{0,t+1}$ and $\Omega'_t := id_{\mathbb{Z}^{N'}} : H_{0,t} \to H_{0,t+1}$. If we have

$$(K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0), [1_{L(E)_0}]) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}[x,x^{-1}]} (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0), [1_{L(F)_0}]),$$

then by Theorem 3.7

$$(K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0), [1_{L(E)_0}], \delta_A) \cong (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0), [1_{L(F)_0}], \delta_B)$$

through an ordered group isomorphism $\Phi : K_0(L(E)_0) \to K_0(L(F)_0)$ such that $\Phi([1_{L(E)_0}]) = [1_{L(F)_0}]$. Equivalently, by Theorem 3.7, $A \sim_{SE} B$ with lag l for some $l \geq 1$. Hence, there exists a pair of nonnegative integral matrices $R \in M_{N\times M}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ and $S \in M_{M\times N}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ satisfying the four shift equivalence equations:

(1) (i) $AR = RB$; (ii) $SA = BS$.
(2) (i) $A^l = RS$; (ii) $B^l = SR$.

Moreover, by the proof of [20, Theorems 7.5.7 & 7.5.8], the maps S and R define explicitly the ordered group isomorphisms Φ and Φ^{-1} respectively.

Now, because of Theorem 3.7 we have

$$(K_0(L(E)_0), K_0^+(L(E)_0), [1_{L(E)_0}], \alpha_*) \cong (K_0(L(F)_0), K_0^+(L(F)_0), [1_{L(E)_0}], \beta_*)$$

for the respective corner isomorphisms α and β, through the same isomorphism of ordered groups Φ. Thus, by Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 we have, for a suitable $m \geq 1$, the following commutative diagram (tagged (†))

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & G_{0,1} \arrow[rr, "A^l"] \arrow[dd, "S"] & & G_{0,l+1} \arrow[rr, "A^l"] \arrow[dd, "S"] & & G_{0,2l+1} \arrow[rr, dotted] \arrow[dd, "S"] & & G \arrow[dd, "\Phi"] \\
G_{0,0} \arrow[ur, "\Omega_0"] \arrow[rr, "A^l"] \arrow[dd, "S"] & & G_{0,l} \arrow[ur, "\Omega_l"] \arrow[rr, "A^l"] \arrow[dd, "S"] & & G_{0,2l} \arrow[ur, "\Omega_{2l}"] \arrow[rr, dotted] \arrow[dd, "S"] & & G \arrow[ur, "\alpha^*"] \arrow[dd] & \\
 & H_{0,m+1} \arrow[rr, "B^l"] \arrow[uurr, "R"] & & H_{0,m+l+1} \arrow[rr, "B^l"] \arrow[uurr, "R"] & & H_{0,m+2l+1} \arrow[rr, dotted, "\Phi"] & & H \\
H_{0,m} \arrow[ur, "\Omega'_m"] \arrow[rr, "B^l"'] \arrow[uurr, "R"] & & H_{0,m+l} \arrow[ur, "\Omega'_{m+l}"] \arrow[rr, "B^l"'] \arrow[uurr, "R"] & & H_{0,m+2l} \arrow[ur, "\Omega'_{m+2l}"] \arrow[rr, dotted] & & H \arrow[ur, "\beta^*"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By the above remark, all the group morphisms appearing in this diagram are order preserving, and those defined by matrices R, S, A^l, B^l also preserve order-unit, while the maps Ω_r, Ω'_t are the restriction of α_* (respectively β_*) to the corresponding G_m (respectively H_m) by the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Our aim is to lift, in an inductive way, this diagram to a commutative diagram of algebras (tagged (♢))

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & L(E)_{0,1} \arrow[rr, "j^E_{1,l+1}"] \arrow[dd, "\phi_1"] & & L(E)_{0,l+1} \arrow[rr, "j^E_{l+1,2l+1}"] \arrow[dd, "\phi_{l+1}"] & & L(E)_{0,2l+1} \arrow[rr, dotted] \arrow[dd, "\phi_{2l+1}"] & & L(E)_0 \arrow[dd, bend right, "\varphi"'] \\
L(E)_{0,0} \arrow[ur, "\alpha_{|L(E)_{0,0}}"] \arrow[rr, "j^E_{0,l}"] \arrow[dd, "\phi_0"] & & L(E)_{0,l} \arrow[ur, "\alpha_{|L(E)_{0,l}}"] \arrow[rr, "j^E_{l,2l}"] \arrow[dd, "\phi_l"] & & L(E)_{0,2l} \arrow[ur, "\alpha_{|L(E)_{0,2l}}"] \arrow[rr, dotted] \arrow[dd, "\phi_{2l}"] & & L(E)_0 \arrow[ur, "\alpha"'] \arrow[dd, bend left, "\varphi"] & \\
 & L(F)_{0,m+1} \arrow[rr, "j^F_{1,m+1}"] \arrow[uurr, "\psi_{m+1}"] & & L(F)_{0,m+l+1} \arrow[rr, "j^F_{m+1,m+l+1}"] \arrow[uurr, "\psi_{m+l+1}"] & & L(F)_{0,m+2l+1} \arrow[rr, dotted] & & L(F)_0 \arrow[uu, bend right, "\psi"'] \\
L(F)_{0,m} \arrow[ur, "\beta_{|L(F)_{0,m}}"] \arrow[rr, "j^F_{0,m}"'] \arrow[uurr, "\psi_m"] & & L(F)_{0,m+l} \arrow[ur, "\beta_{|L(F)_{0,m+l}}"] \arrow[rr, "j^F_{m,m+l}"'] \arrow[uurr, "\psi_{m+l}"] & & L(F)_{0,m+2l} \arrow[ur, "\beta_{|L(F)_{0,m+2l}}"] \arrow[rr, dotted] & & L(F)_0 \arrow[ur, "\beta"'] \arrow[uu, bend left, "\psi"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

If that is possible, then

$$\varphi : L(E)_0 \to L(F)_0$$

is a unital isomorphism with inverse ψ, such that $\varphi\alpha = \beta\varphi$. Recall that $L(E) = L(E)_0[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$ and $L(F) = L(F)_0[s_+, s_-; \beta]$. Hence, we can define a map

$$\widehat{\varphi} : L(E)_0[t_+, t_-; \alpha] \to L(F)_0[s_+, s_-; \beta]$$

such that $\widehat{\varphi}_{|L(E)_0} = \varphi$, $\widehat{\varphi}(t_+) = s_+$, $\widehat{\varphi}(t_-) = s_-$, extended by linearity. If $a \in L(E)_0$, then

$$\widehat{\varphi}(t_+ a t_-) = \varphi(\alpha(a)) = \beta(\varphi(a)) = s_+\varphi(a)s_-,$$

and thus

$$\widehat{\varphi}(t_+ a) = \widehat{\varphi}(t_+ a t_-)\widehat{\varphi}(t_+) = s_+\varphi(a)s_- \cdot s_+ = s_+\varphi(a).$$

Similarly,

$$\widehat{\varphi}(at_-) = \widehat{\varphi}(t_-\alpha(a)) = s_-\varphi(\alpha(a)) = s_-\beta(\varphi(a)) = \varphi(a)s_-.$$

It follows that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is a graded algebra isomorphism, with inverse

$$\widehat{\psi} : L(F)_0[s_+, s_-; \beta] \to L(E)_0[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$$

such that $\widehat{\psi}_{|L(F)_0} = \psi$, $\widehat{\psi}(s_+) = t_+$, $\widehat{\psi}(s_-) = t_-$.

Remark 4.6. Notice that, when $l = 1$, we have strong shift equivalence of A_E and A_F, and thus Theorem 4.1 (1) ⇒ (2) holds directly. Indeed, by [27] there is a finite chain of out/in-splittings and its inverses who connect A_E and A_F. By [3, Propositions 1.11 and 1.14] these operations induces a (graded) Morita equivalence between $L(E)$ and $L(F)$ (see e.g. [11, Section 5], or [17, Proposition 14] for a different approach). Then, preservation of the order-unit in the K_0^{gr}-isomorphism, plus the argument used in [3, Theorem 2.5] give us the desired result. Thus, in our arguments we can assume that $l \geq 2$.

In the next section we will explain how to perform such a lifting of diagrams, so proving Theorem 4.1 (1) ⇒ (2).

We close this section with a corollary of our methods, which gives a partial answer to (a corrected version of) Conjecture 3 in [16].

Let E be a finite graph, and let u, z be invertible elements in $L(E)_0$ such that $z(uvu^{-1}) = (uvu^{-1})z$ for all $v \in E^0$. We define the automorphism $\theta_{u,z}$ of $L(E)$ be the rules $\theta_{u,z}(v) = uvu^{-1}$ for $v \in E^0$, and $\theta_{u,z}(e) = (ueu^{-1})z$, $\theta_{u,z}(e^*) = z^{-1}(ue^*u^{-1})$ for $e \in E^1$. Then it is easily checked that $\theta_{u,z}$ induces a graded algebra automorphism of $L(E)$ with $K_0^{gr}(\theta_{u,z}) = \mathrm{id}$.

Corollary 4.7. *Let E and F be finite graphs with neither sources nor sinks.*

1. *For any ordered $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$-module isomorphism*

$$\psi\colon (K_0^{gr}(L_K(E)), [1_{L_K(E)}]) \to (K_0^{gr}(L_K(F)), [1_{L_K(F)}])$$

there is a graded algebra isomorphism $f\colon L_K(E) \to L_K(F)$ such that $K_0^{gr}(f) = \psi$.

2. *Let φ and ψ be two graded algebra isomorphisms from $L_K(E)$ onto $L_K(F)$ such that $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$. Then there exist invertible elements u, z in $L(F)_0$, with $z(uvu^{-1}) = (uvu^{-1})z$ for all $v \in F^0$, such that*

$$\psi = \theta_{u,z} \circ \varphi.$$

Proof. (1) A careful examination of our arguments shows that the isomorphism f obtained in the proof of our main result satisfies that $K_0^{gr}(f)$ is the given isomorphism between $K_0^{gr}(L(E))$ and $K_0^{gr}(L(F))$.

(2) It is enough to determine the structure of the graded algebra automorphisms φ of $L(E)$ such that $K_0^{gr}(\varphi) = \mathrm{id}$. So, let φ such an automorphism, and denote by φ_0 the restriction of φ to $L(E)_0$, which is an algebra automorphism of the ultramatricial algebra $L(E)_0$ such that $K_0(\varphi_0) = \mathrm{id}$. By [15, Lemma 15.23], there exists $u \in L(E)_0$ such that $\varphi(x) = uxu^{-1}$ for all $x \in L(E)_{0,1}$.

Set $A = L(E)_0$, set $t_+ = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$, $t_- = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*$, where $e_i \in E^1$ satisfy that $r(e_i) = v_i$ for all i, and $E^0 = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. As observed before, we have $L(E) = A[t_+, t_-, \alpha]$, where $\alpha\colon A \to pAp$ is the corner-isomorphism given by $\alpha(x) = t_+xt_-$ for $x \in A$.

It is easy to show that φ is determined by φ_0 and $\varphi(t_+) = a_0t_+$, $\varphi(t_-) = t_-b_0$, where $a_0 \in Ap$ and $b_0 \in pA$ must satisfy the relations $b_0a_0 = p$ and

$$\varphi_0(\alpha(x)) = a_0\alpha(\varphi_0(x))b_0$$

for all $x \in A$. In particular we have $a_0b_0 = \varphi_0(p) = upu^{-1}$.

Set $\zeta = \alpha(u)u^{-1}a_0 \in pAp$, and $\varpi = b_0u\alpha(u^{-1})$. Then, we have

$$\zeta\varpi = \alpha(u)u^{-1}a_0b_0u\alpha(u^{-1}) = \alpha(u)u^{-1}upu^{-1}u\alpha(u^{-1}) = \alpha(1) = p$$

and

$$\varpi\zeta = b_0u\alpha(u^{-1})\alpha(u)u^{-1}a_0 = b_0u\alpha(1)u^{-1}a_0 = b_0\varphi_0(\alpha(1))a_0 = \alpha(\varphi_0(1)) = p.$$

So ζ is invertible in pAp, with inverse ϖ. Set $z = \alpha^{-1}(\zeta)$, an invertible element of A. Then, for $v \in E^0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(uvu^{-1})z^{-1} &= \alpha^{-1}(\zeta\alpha(\varphi_0(v))\varpi) = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(u)u^{-1}a_0\alpha(\varphi_0(v))b_0u\alpha(u^{-1})) \\ &= \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(u)u^{-1}\varphi_0(\alpha(v))u\alpha(u^{-1})) = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(u)\alpha(v)\alpha(u^{-1})) = uvu^{-1}\,, \end{aligned}$$

showing that $z(uvu^{-1}) = (uvu^{-1})z$ for all $v \in E^0$. Moreover, if $e \in E^1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(e) &= \varphi_0(et_-)a_0t_+ = uet_-u^{-1}a_0t_+ = uet_-(pu^{-1}a_0)t_+ \\ &= uet_-\alpha(u^{-1})\zeta t_+ = ueu^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(\zeta) = ueu^{-1}z. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\varphi(e^*) = z^{-1}ue^*u^{-1}$. Since φ and $\theta_{u,z}$ agree on the generators of $L(E)$, we conclude that $\varphi = \theta_{u,z}$, as desired. □

5. Closing the circle

In this section we will proceed to prove Theorem 4.1 (1) ⇒ (2). According to the arguments in Section 4, it is enough to perform the correct lifting of the diagram (†) to a diagram (♢).

Proof of Theorem 4.1, (1) ⇒ (2). In order to perform the lifting, we will start by explaining how to lift the first two commutative squares of diagram (†) to those of diagram (♢) in such a way that we have a commutative lifting of the first three-dimensional basic block of diagram (†) to that of diagram (♢).

We start with the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{Z}^N \arrow[r, "\Omega_0"] \arrow[d, "S"'] & \mathbb{Z}^N \arrow[d, "S"] \\ \mathbb{Z}^M \arrow[r, "\Omega'_m"'] & \mathbb{Z}^M \end{tikzcd}$$

and we lift it to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L(E)_{0,0} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\phi_0"'] & L(E)_{0,1} \arrow[d, "\phi_1"] \\
L(F)_{0,m} \arrow[r, "\beta"'] & L(F)_{0,m+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ϕ_0, ϕ_1 are unital morphisms. Because of the previous data, $1 \leq m \leq l$ are fixed across the whole process. Here A and B are the transpose of the adjacency matrices for E and F respectively. We start by fixing some notation that will be useful in the sequel.

We will denote $E^0 = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ and $F^0 = \{w_1, \dots, w_M\}$. Also, for $d \geq 1$, we will denote $P(d, v_i) = \{\gamma \in E^d \mid r(\gamma) = v_i\}$ and $s_d^{-1}(v_i) = \{\gamma \in E^d \mid s(\gamma) = v_i\}$ (analogously for the w_j's of F^0). For a path γ in E of length t and $d \geq t$, we will denote by $s_d^{-1}(\gamma)$ the set of paths $\lambda \in E^d$ such that the initial segment of λ of length t is equal to γ. Now notice that, for any $1 \leq i, k \leq N$ there are exactly $a_{k,i}$ edges in $P(1, v_k) \cap s^{-1}(v_i)$. Hence, for the edges of E^1 we will fix the notation $e_t^{k,i}$ (for some $1 \leq t \leq a_{k,i}$) to mean that $s(e_t^{k,i}) = v_i$ and $r(e_t^{k,i}) = v_k$. Analogously, we will denote $f_t^{k,i}$ (for $1 \leq i, k \leq M$ and some $1 \leq t \leq b_{k,i}$) the edge in F^1 with $s(f_t^{k,i}) = w_i$ and $r(f_t^{k,i}) = w_k$.

In order to define correctly the maps α, β, we fix for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ an edge $e_i \in P(1, v_i)$, and analogously we fix for each $1 \leq j \leq M$ an edge $f_j \in P(1, w_j)$. To make the notation coherent with the previous agreement, we define for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ the element $1 \leq \sigma^E(i) \leq N$ such that $s(e_i) = v_{\sigma^E(i)}$, and analogously we define for each $1 \leq j \leq M$ the element $1 \leq \sigma^F(j) \leq M$ such that $s(f_j) = w_{\sigma^F(j)}$. Thus, we fix the notation so that $e_i = e_1^{i,\sigma^E(i)}$ and $f_j = f_1^{j,\sigma^F(j)}$. Finally, we define:

(1) $t_+ := \sum_{i=1}^N e_1^{i,\sigma^E(i)}$ and $t_- := \sum_{i=1}^N e_1^{i,\sigma^E(i)^*}$.

(2) $s_+ := \sum_{j=1}^M f_1^{j,\sigma^F(j)}$ and $s_- := \sum_{j=1}^M f_1^{j,\sigma^F(j)^*}$.

So, the map α is defined by the rule $\alpha(a) = t_+ a t_-$, while the map β is defined by the rule $\beta(b) = s_+ b s_-$.

Now, we will define ϕ_0. Since S is a group morphism preserving the order-unit, we have that $|P(m, w_j)| = \sum_{i=1}^N s_{ji}$. So, we can consider a partition

$$P(m, w_j) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^N G_j^{m,v_i}$$

where $|G_j^{m,v_i}| = s_{ji}$ for all j, i. We will write

$$G_j^{m,v_i} = \{\lambda_{j1}^0(v_i) \dots, \lambda_{js_{ji}}^0(v_i)\}.$$

We define a map ϕ_0 by the rule

$$\phi_0(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{\lambda \in G_j^{m,v_i}} \lambda\lambda^*.$$

Note that

$$[\phi_0(v_i)] = \sum_{j=1}^{M} s_{ji}[w_j] = S[v_i]\,,$$

so that ϕ_0 lifts S.

Also, since $1 = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} v_i$ and $F^m = \bigsqcup\limits_{j=1}^{M} P(m, w_j)$, we have

$$\phi_0(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_0(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{\lambda \in G_j^{m,v_i}} \lambda\lambda^* = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{\lambda \in P(m,w_j)} \lambda\lambda^* = \sum_{\lambda \in F^m} \lambda\lambda^* = 1$$

where the last equality follows from (CK2) and the fact that F does not have sinks.

Now, we proceed to define ϕ_1. For, we consider $P(m+1, w_j)$. Observe that, as above,

$$|P(m+1, w_j)| = \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{jk}|P(m, w_k)| = \sum_{k=1}^{M}\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_{jk}s_{ki} = \sum_{i=1}^{N}(\sum_{k=1}^{N} s_{jk}a_{ki}),$$

where we have used that $SA = BS$. Notice that a_{ki} is the number of edges in $P(1, v_k) \cap s^{-1}(v_i)$. It follows that we can consider a partition

$$P(m+1, w_j) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{N}\bigsqcup_{k=1}^{N} \bigsqcup_{\{e \in P(1,v_k) \cap s^{-1}(v_i)\}} G_j^{m+1,e}\,, \tag{5.1}$$

with $|G_j^{m+1,e}| = s_{jk}$ for all $e \in P(1, v_k) \cap s^{-1}(v_i)$. We will write

$$G_j^{m+1,e} = \{\lambda^1_{j1}(e), \dots, \lambda^1_{js_{jk}}(e)\}.$$

Moreover, we can choose the partition (5.1) in such a way that

$$G_j^{m+1,e_1^{i,\sigma^E(i)}} = \{f_1^{s(\lambda^0_{jt}(v_i)),\sigma^F(s(\lambda^0_{jt}(v_i)))}\lambda^0_{jt}(v_i) \mid 1 \le t \le s_{ji}\}. \tag{5.2}$$

This makes sense because the family on the right hand side is a family of s_{ji} paths of length $m+1$ ending in w_j. In fact, we can fix as a condition

$$\textbf{(Ex 1)} \qquad \lambda^1_{jt}(e_1^{k,\sigma^E(k)}) = f_1^{s(\lambda^0_{jt}(v_k)),\sigma^F(s(\lambda^0_{jt}(v_k)))}\lambda^0_{jt}(v_k)$$

for all the possible values of j, k, t. This is coherent with the possible values of these variables, and clearly Condition (Ex 1) implies (5.2).

Now we define $\phi_1\colon L(E)_{0,1} \to L(F)_{0,m+1}$ as follows. Given $e, f \in E^1$ such that $r(e) = v_k = r(f)$, we put:

$$\phi_1(ef^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{p=1}^{s_{jk}} \lambda^1_{j,p}(e)\lambda^1_{j,p}(f)^*\,.$$

It is easy to show that ϕ_1 is a well-defined unital homomorphism, such that $K_0(\phi_1) = S$. Let us show that $\phi_1\alpha = \beta\phi_0$:

$$\phi_1(\alpha(v_i)) = \phi_1(e_1^{i,\sigma^E(i)} e_1^{i,\sigma^E(i)^*}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{p=1}^{s_{jk}} \lambda^1_{j,p}(e_1^{i,\sigma^E(i)})\lambda^1_{j,p}(e_1^{i,\sigma^E(i)})^* =$$

which by (Ex 1) equals

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{p=1}^{s_{ji}} f_1^{s(\lambda^0_{jp}(v_i)),\sigma^F(s(\lambda^0_{jp}(v_i)))} \lambda^0_{jp}(v_i)\lambda^0_{jp}(v_i)^* f_1^{s(\lambda^0_{jp}(v_i)),\sigma^F(s(\lambda^0_{jp}(v_i)))^*} =$$

$$= \beta(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{p=1}^{s_{ji}} \lambda^0_{jp}(v_i)\lambda^0_{jp}(v_i)^*) = \beta(\phi_0(v_i)).$$

Thus, we completed the lifting.

Now, we will take the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}^N \arrow[r, "\Omega_l"] & \mathbb{Z}^N \\
\mathbb{Z}^M \arrow[u, "R"'] \arrow[r, "\Omega'_m"'] & \mathbb{Z}^M \arrow[u, "R"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

and we will lift it to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L(E)_{0,l} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & L(E)_{0,l+1} \\
L(F)_{0,m} \arrow[u, "\psi_m"'] \arrow[r, "\beta"'] & L(F)_{0,m+1} \arrow[u, "\psi_{m+1}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ψ_m, ψ_{m+1} are unital morphisms satisfying that $\psi_m \cdot \phi_0 = j^E_{0,l}$ and $\psi_{m+1} \cdot \phi_1 = j^E_{1,l+1}$.

First, we will define ψ_m. Since $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{M} r_{ij}s_{jk} = (A^l)_{ik}$ is the number of paths in $P(l, v_i) \cap s_l^{-1}(v_k)$ and $|G_j^{m,v_k}| = s_{jk}$, we can consider a partition

$$P(l, v_i) \cap s_l^{-1}(v_k) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M} \bigsqcup_{\{e \in G_j^{m,v_k}\}} G_i^{l,e} \tag{5.3}$$

with $|G_i^{l,e}| = r_{ij}$ for all $e \in G_j^{m,v_k}$. Notice that (5.3) implies

$$P(l, v_i) = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{N} \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M} \bigsqcup_{\{e\in G_j^{m,v_k}\}} G_i^{l,e} \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$s_l^{-1}(v_k) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{N} \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M} \bigsqcup_{\{e\in G_j^{m,v_k}\}} G_i^{l,e} \tag{5.5}$$

If $\gamma = \lambda_{jt}^0(v_k) \in P(m, w_j)$, we will write

$$G_i^{l,\gamma} = G_i^{l,\lambda_{jt}^0(v_k)} = \{\lambda_{i1}^m(\lambda_{jt}^0(v_k)), \dots, \lambda_{ir_{ij}}^m(\lambda_{jt}^0(v_k))\}.$$

In particular, $s(\lambda_{i1}^m(\lambda_{jt}^0(v_k))) = v_k$ by (5.3).

We define a map $\psi_m : L(F)_{0,m} \to L(E)_{0,l}$ by the rule

$$\psi_m(\gamma\delta^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{ij}} \lambda_{ip}^m(\gamma)\lambda_{ip}^m(\delta)^*,$$

where $\gamma, \delta \in P(m, w_j)$.

As in the previous cases, it is easy to show that ψ_m is a well-defined unital morphism satisfying that $K_0(\psi_m) = R$. Now,

$$\psi_m \cdot \phi_0(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{e\in G_j^{m,v_i}} \psi_m(ee^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{e\in G_j^{m,v_i}} \sum_{\gamma\in G_k^{l,e}} \gamma\gamma^* =$$

sum which ranges on the set

$$\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M} \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{N} \bigsqcup_{\{e\in G_j^{m,v_i}\}} G_k^{l,e} = s_l^{-1}(v_i)$$

(the last equality because of (5.5)), so that

$$= \sum_{\gamma\in s_l^{-1}(v_i)} \gamma\gamma^* = j_{0,l}^E(v_i).$$

Now, we will define ψ_{m+1}. Since, for $e \in P(1, v_k)$, we have

$$|P(l+1, v_i) \cap s_{l+1}^{-1}(e)| = |e(P_l(v_i) \cap s_l^{-1}(v_k))| = \sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{ij}s_{jk}\,,$$

we may consider a partition

$$P(l+1, v_i) \cap s_{l+1}^{-1}(e) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M} \bigsqcup_{\{\gamma\in G_j^{m+1,e}\}} G_i^{l+1,\gamma}, \tag{5.6}$$

with $|G_i^{l+1,\gamma}| = r_{ij}$ for $\gamma \in G_j^{m+1,e}$. Given any $\gamma = \lambda^1_{jt}(e_r^{k,d}) \in P(m+1, w_j)$, we will write

$$G_i^{l+1,\gamma} = G_i^{l+1,\lambda^1_{jt}(e_r^{k,d})} = \{\lambda^{m+1}_{i1}(\lambda^1_{jt}(e_r^{k,d})), \dots, \lambda^{m+1}_{ir_{ij}}(\lambda^1_{jt}(e_r^{k,d}))\}.$$

Since $|G_i^{l,\lambda^0_{jt}(v_k)}| = |G_i^{l+1,\lambda^1_{jt}(e_r^{k,d})}|$, we can fix as definition

$$\lambda^{m+1}_{ip}(\lambda^1_{jt}(e_r^{k,d})) := e_r^{k,d}\lambda^m_{ip}(\lambda^0_{jt}(v_k)), \tag{5.7}$$

which is coherent with (5.6).

Now, we define a map $\psi_{m+1} : L(F)_{0,m+1} \to L(E)_{0,l+1}$ for any $\gamma, \delta \in P(m+1, w_j)$ by the rule

$$\psi_{m+1}(\gamma\delta^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{p=1}^{r_{ij}} \lambda^{m+1}_{ip}(\gamma)\lambda^{m+1}_{ip}(\delta)^*.$$

As in the previous cases, it is easy to show that ψ_{m+1} is a well-defined unital morphism satisfying that $K_0(\psi_{m+1}) = R$.

Given $e, f \in P(1, v_k)$, we compute that

$$\psi_{m+1}\cdot\phi_1(ef^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{p=1}^{s_{jk}} \psi_{m+1}(\lambda^1_{jp}(e)\lambda^1_{jp}(f)^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{q=1}^{r_{ij}}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{p=1}^{s_{jk}} \lambda^{m+1}_{iq}(\lambda^1_{jp}(e))\lambda^{m+1}_{iq}(\lambda^1_{jp}(f))^* =$$

which by (5.7) equals

$$= e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{q=1}^{r_{ij}}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{p=1}^{s_{jk}} \lambda^m_{iq}(\lambda^0_{jp}(v_k))\lambda^m_{iq}(\lambda^0_{jp}(v_k))^*\right) f^* =$$

and since the sum ranges through the set

$$\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{N}\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M}\bigsqcup_{\{g\in G_j^{m,v_k}\}} G_i^{l,g} = s_l^{-1}(v_k) \qquad \text{(by (5.5))}$$

the above sum equals

$$= e\left(\sum_{\gamma\in s_l^{-1}(v_k)} \gamma\gamma^*\right) f^* = j^E_{1,l+1}(ef^*).$$

Now, we compute that

$$e_1^{k,\sigma^E(k)}\lambda^m_{ip}(\lambda^0_{jl}(v_k)) =$$

equals by (5.7)

$$= \lambda^{m+1}_{ip}(\lambda^1_{jl}(e_1^{k,\sigma^E(k)})) =$$

which by (Ex 1) equals

$$= \lambda^{m+1}_{ip}(f_1^{s(\lambda^0_{jl}(v_k)),\sigma^F(s(\lambda^0_{jl}(v_k)))}\lambda^0_{jl}(v_k)).$$

Summarizing, for any $\gamma \in G_j^{m,v_k}$ we proved that

$$\textbf{(Ex 2)} \qquad e_1^{k,\sigma^E(k)}\lambda^m_{ip}(\gamma) = \lambda^{m+1}_{ip}(f_1^{s(\gamma),\sigma^F(s(\gamma))}\gamma).$$

Finally, given $\gamma \in G_j^{m,v_{k_1}}$, $\delta \in G_j^{m,v_{k_2}}$, we compute that

$$\alpha \cdot \psi_m(\gamma\delta^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{p=1}^{r_{ij}} e_1^{k_1,\sigma^E(k_1)}\lambda_{ip}^m(\gamma)\lambda_{ip}^m(\delta)^* e_1^{k_2,\sigma^E(k_2)^*} =$$

which by (Ex 2) equals

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{p=1}^{r_{ij}} \lambda_{ip}^{m+1}(f_1^{s(\gamma),\sigma^F(s(\gamma))}\gamma)\lambda_{ip}^{m+1}(f_1^{s(\delta),\sigma^F(s(\delta))}\delta)^* = \psi_{m+1}(f_1^{s(\gamma),\sigma^F(s(\gamma))}\gamma\delta^* f_1^{s(\delta),\sigma^F(s(\delta))^*}) =$$

$$= \psi_{m+1} \cdot \beta(\gamma\delta^*),$$

as desired.

Next, we will perform the lifting of the third commutative square of diagram (†) to that of diagram (♢), so we will get a lifting of the second three-dimensional basic block of diagram (†) to that of diagram (♢). For, we will take the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}^N \arrow[r, "\Omega_l"] \arrow[d, "S"'] & \mathbb{Z}^N \arrow[d, "S"] \\
\mathbb{Z}^M \arrow[r, "\Omega'_{m+l}"'] & \mathbb{Z}^M
\end{tikzcd}$$

and we will lift it to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L(E)_{0,l} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\phi_l"'] & L(E)_{0,l+1} \arrow[d, "\phi_{l+1}"] \\
L(F)_{0,m+l} \arrow[r, "\beta"'] & L(F)_{0,m+l+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ϕ_l, ϕ_{l+1} are unital morphisms satisfying that $\phi_l \cdot \psi_m = j_{m,m+l}^F$ and $\phi_{l+1} \cdot \psi_{m+1} = j_{m+1,m+l+1}^F$.

For, as in the previous case, we start by defining a suitable partition for $P(m+l, w_j)$. For $e \in P(m, w_k)$, we can define a partition

$$P(m+l, w_j) \cap s_{m+l}^{-1}(e) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{N} \bigsqcup_{\{\gamma \in G_i^{l,e}\}} G_j^{m+l,\gamma} \tag{5.8}$$

where $|G_j^{m+l,\gamma}| = s_{ji}$ for $\gamma \in G_i^{l,e}$. Given any $\gamma = \lambda_{ip}^m(\lambda_{kt}^0(v_d)) \in G_i^{l,e}$, we will write

$$G_j^{m+l,\gamma} = \{\lambda_{jq}^l(\gamma) \mid 1 \leq q \leq s_{ji}\}.$$

Now, we will fix a condition analog to (5.7). For, we observe that for any $1 \leq j,k \leq M$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{ji} r_{ik} = (B^l)_{jk}$. Thus, we can consider an enumeration

$$P(l, w_j) \cap s_l^{-1}(w_k) = \{\gamma_{ipq}^{jk} \mid 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq p \leq r_{ik}, 1 \leq q \leq s_{ji}\}. \tag{5.9}$$

Since any $\delta \in P(m+l, w_j)$ can be written as $\tau_k \cdot \gamma_{ipq}^{jk}$ for some $\tau_k \in P(m, w_k)$, we can fix as definition

$$\lambda_{jq}^{l}(\lambda_{ip}^{m}(\lambda_{kt}^{0}(v_d))) := \lambda_{kt}^{0}(v_d) \cdot \gamma_{ipq}^{jk}. \tag{5.10}$$

Now, we define $\phi_l : L(E)_{0,l} \to L(F)_{0,m+l}$ as follows: for any $\gamma, \delta \in P(l, v_i)$,

$$\phi_l(\gamma\delta^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{q=1}^{s_{ji}} \lambda_{jq}^{l}(\gamma)\lambda_{jq}^{l}(\delta)^*.$$

It is easy to show that ϕ_l is a unital morphism satisfying $K_0(\phi_l) = S$.

Given $e, f \in P(m, w_k)$, we compute

$$\phi_l \cdot \psi_m(ef^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{ik}} \phi_l(\lambda_{ip}^{m}(e)\lambda_{ip}^{m}(f)^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{ik}} \sum_{q=1}^{s_{ji}} \lambda_{jq}^{l}(\lambda_{ip}^{m}(e))\lambda_{jq}^{l}(\lambda_{ip}^{m}(f))^* =$$

that by (5.10) equals

$$= e \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{ik}} \sum_{q=1}^{s_{ji}} \gamma_{ipq}^{jk} (\gamma_{ipq}^{jk})^* \right) f^*$$

where the sums ranges through the set

$$\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M} (P(l, w_j) \cap s_l^{-1}(w_k)) = s_l^{-1}(w_k),$$

so that the above sum equals $j_{m,m+l}^{F}(ef^*)$, as desired.

Now, we proceed to define a partition for $P(m+l+1, w_j)$. For $e \in P(m+1, w_k)$, we can define a partition

$$P(m+l+1, w_j) \cap s_{m+l+1}^{-1}(e) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{N} \bigsqcup_{\{\gamma \in G_i^{l+1,e}\}} G_j^{m+l+1,\gamma} \tag{5.11}$$

where $|G_j^{m+l+1,\gamma}| = s_{ji}$ for $\gamma \in G_i^{l+1,e}$. Given any $\gamma = \lambda_{ip}^{m+1}(e) \in G_i^{l+1,e}$, we will write

$$G_j^{m+l+1,\gamma} = \{\lambda_{js}^{l+1}(\gamma) \mid 1 \leq s \leq s_{ji}\}.$$

In analogy with (5.10), for any $e = \lambda_{kq}^{1}(f) \in P(m+1, w_k)$ we fix as definition

$$\lambda_{js}^{l+1}(\lambda_{ip}^{m+1}(e)) := e \cdot \gamma_{ips}^{jk}. \tag{5.12}$$

Now, we define $\phi_{l+1} : L(E)_{0,l+1} \to L(F)_{0,m+l+1}$ as follows: for any $\gamma, \delta \in P(l+1, v_i)$,

$$\phi_{l+1}(\gamma\delta^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{s=1}^{s_{ji}} \lambda_{js}^{l+1}(\gamma)\lambda_{js}^{l+1}(\delta)^*.$$

It is easy to show that ϕ_{l+1} is a unital morphisms satisfying $K_0(\phi_{l+1}) = S$.

Given $e, f \in P(m+1, w_k)$, we compute

$$\phi_{l+1} \cdot \psi_{m+1}(ef^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{ik}} \phi_{l+1}(\lambda_{ip}^{m+1}(e)\lambda_{ip}^{m+1}(f)^*) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{ik}} \sum_{s=1}^{s_{ji}} \lambda_{js}^{l+1}(\lambda_{ip}^{m+1}(e))\lambda_{js}^{l+1}(\lambda_{ip}^{m+1}(f))^* =$$

that by (5.12) equals

$$= e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{ik}} \sum_{s=1}^{s_{ji}} \gamma_{ips}^{jk}(\gamma_{ips}^{jk})^*\right) f^*$$

where the sums ranges through the set

$$\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M} (P(l, w_j) \cap s_l^{-1}(w_k)) = s_l^{-1}(w_k),$$

so that the above sum equals $j_{m+1,m+l+1}^F(ef^*)$, as desired.

Now, we compute that

$$\lambda_{js}^{l+1}(e_1^{d,\sigma^E(d)}\lambda_{ip}^{m}(\lambda_{kq}^{0}(v_d))) =$$

equals by (Ex 2)

$$= \lambda_{js}^{l+1}(\lambda_{ip}^{m+1}(f_1^{s(\lambda_{kq}^0(v_d)),\sigma^F(s(\lambda_{kq}^0(v_d)))}\lambda_{kq}^0(v_d))) =$$

which by (5.12) equals

$$= f_1^{s(\lambda_{kq}^0(v_d)),\sigma^F(s(\lambda_{kq}^0(v_d)))} \cdot \lambda_{kq}^0(v_d) \cdot \gamma_{ips}^{jk} =$$

that by (5.10) equals

$$= f_1^{s(\lambda_{kq}^0(v_d)),\sigma^F(s(\lambda_{kq}^0(v_d)))}\lambda_{js}^{l}(\lambda_{ip}^{m}(\lambda_{kq}^0(v_d))).$$

Summarizing, for any $\gamma \in G_i^{l,\lambda_{kq}^0(v_d)}$ we proved that

$$\textbf{(Ex 3)} \qquad \lambda_{js}^{l+1}(e_1^{d,\sigma^E(d)}\gamma) = f_1^{s(\gamma),\sigma^F(s(\gamma))}\lambda_{js}^{l}(\gamma).$$

Finally, given $\gamma \in G_i^{l,\lambda_{k_1q_1}^0(v_{d_1})}$, $\delta \in G_i^{l,\lambda_{k_2q_2}^0(v_{d_2})}$, we compute

$$\phi_{l+1} \cdot \alpha(\gamma\delta^*) = \phi_{l+1}(e_1^{d_1,\sigma^E(d_1)}\gamma\delta^* e_1^{d_2,\sigma^E(d_2)^*}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{s=1}^{s_{ji}} \lambda_{js}^{l+1}(e_1^{d_1,\sigma^E(d_1)}\gamma)\lambda_{js}^{l+1}(e_1^{d_2,\sigma^E(d_2)}\delta)^* =$$

which by (Ex 3) equals

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{s=1}^{s_{ji}} f_1^{s(\gamma),\sigma^F(s(\gamma))}\lambda_{js}^{l}(\gamma)\lambda_{js}^{l}(\delta)^* f_1^{s(\delta),\sigma^F(s(\delta))^*} = \beta(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{s=1}^{s_{ji}} \lambda_{js}^{l}(\gamma)\lambda_{js}^{l}(\delta)^*) = \beta \cdot \phi_l(\gamma\delta^*),$$

so we are done.

Now, we are ready to state the induction hypothesis and show the inductive step. For, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varepsilon = 0, 1$, and suppose that for any $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have constructed:

1. Partitions:
   - (a) Given $e \in E^{(k-1)l+\varepsilon}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$,

     $$P(kl+\varepsilon, v_i) \cap s_{kl+\varepsilon}^{-1}(e) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M} \bigsqcup_{\{\gamma \in G_j^{m+(k-1)l+\varepsilon,e}\}} G_i^{kl+\varepsilon,\gamma}.$$

     The elements of the subsets are denoted

     $$G_i^{kl+\varepsilon,\gamma} = \{\lambda_{ir}^{(k-1)l+m+\varepsilon}(\gamma) \mid 1 \leq r \leq r_{ij}\},$$

     where $\gamma = \lambda_{jq}^{(k-1)l+\varepsilon}(e)$, and are defined by the rule

     $$\lambda_{ir}^{(k-1)l+m+\varepsilon}(\lambda_{jq}^{(k-1)l+\varepsilon}(e)) := e \cdot \lambda_{ir}^{m}(\lambda_{jq}^{0}(r(e))). \tag{5.13}$$
   - (b) Given $e \in P((k-1)l+m+\varepsilon, w_t)$,

     $$P(kl+m+\varepsilon, w_j) \cap s_{kl+m+\varepsilon}^{-1}(e) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{N} \bigsqcup_{\{\gamma \in G_i^{kl+\varepsilon,e}\}} G_j^{kl+m+\varepsilon,\gamma}.$$

     The elements of the subsets are denoted

     $$G_j^{kl+m+\varepsilon,\gamma} = \{\lambda_{jq}^{kl+\varepsilon}(\gamma) \mid 1 \leq q \leq s_{ji}\},$$

     where $\gamma = \lambda_{ip}^{(k-1)l+m+\varepsilon}(e)$, and are defined by the rule

     $$\lambda_{jq}^{kl+\varepsilon}(\lambda_{ip}^{(k-1)l+m+\varepsilon}(e)) := e \cdot \gamma_{ipq}^{jt}. \tag{5.14}$$
2. Exchange identities:
   - (a) Given $\gamma \in G_j^{m+(k-1)l,e}$ with $s(e) = v_g$ and $s(\gamma) = w_h$,

     $$\textbf{(Ex 2k+1)} \qquad \lambda_{ir}^{m+(k-1)l+1}(f_1^{h,\sigma^F(h)}\gamma) = e_1^{g,\sigma^E(g)}\lambda_{ir}^{m+(k-1)l}(\gamma).$$
   - (b) Given $\gamma \in G_i^{kl,e}$ with $s(\gamma) = v_g$ and $s(e) = w_h$,

     $$\textbf{(Ex 2k)} \qquad \lambda_{js}^{kl+1}(e_1^{g,\sigma^E(g)}\gamma) = f_1^{h,\sigma^F(h)}\lambda_{js}^{kl}(\gamma).$$
3. Unital homomorphisms:
   - (a) $\psi_{m+(k-1)l+\varepsilon} : L(F)_{0,m+(k-1)l+\varepsilon} \to L(E)_{0,kl+\varepsilon}$ defined by the rule

     $$\psi_{m+(k-1)l+\varepsilon}(\gamma\delta^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{r=1}^{r_{ij}} \lambda_{ir}^{m+(k-1)l+\varepsilon}(\gamma)\lambda_{ir}^{m+(k-1)l+\varepsilon}(\delta)^*,$$

     where $r(\gamma) = r(\delta) = w_j$.
   - (b) $\phi_{kl+\varepsilon} : L(E)_{0,kl+\varepsilon} \to L(F)_{0,m+kl+\varepsilon}$ defined by the rule

     $$\phi_{kl+\varepsilon}(\gamma\delta^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{q=1}^{s_{ji}} \lambda_{jq}^{kl+\varepsilon}(\gamma)\lambda_{jq}^{kl+\varepsilon}(\delta)^*,$$

     where $r(\gamma) = r(\delta) = v_i$.

satisfying:

1. $K_0(\psi_{m+(k-1)l+\varepsilon}) = R$ and $K_0(\phi_{kl+\varepsilon}) = S$.
2. $\psi_{m+(k-1)l+\varepsilon} \cdot \phi_{(k-1)l+\varepsilon} = j^E_{(k-1)l+\varepsilon,kl+\varepsilon}$ and $\phi_{kl+\varepsilon} \cdot \psi_{m+(k-1)l+\varepsilon} = j^F_{m+(k-1)l+\varepsilon,m+kl+\varepsilon}$.

(3) $\alpha \cdot \psi_{m+(k-1)l} = \psi_{m+(k-1)l+1} \cdot \beta$ and $\phi_{kl+1} \cdot \alpha = \beta \cdot \phi_{kl}$.

Now, we will show that the same holds for $k = n+1$. First, we will take the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}^N \arrow[r, "\Omega_{(n+1)l}"] & \mathbb{Z}^N \\
\mathbb{Z}^M \arrow[u, "R"'] \arrow[r, "\Omega'_{m+nl}"'] & \mathbb{Z}^M \arrow[u, "R"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and we will lift it to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L(E)_{0,(n+1)l} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & L(E)_{0,(n+1)l+1} \; . \\
L(F)_{0,m+nl} \arrow[u, "\psi_{m+nl}"'] \arrow[r, "\beta"'] & L(F)_{0,m+nl+1} \arrow[u, "\psi_{m+nl+1}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

For, we define a partition of $P((n+1)l+\varepsilon, v_i)$. For $e \in P(nl+\varepsilon, v_k)$, we can take a partition

$$P((n+1)l+\varepsilon, v_i) \cap s^{-1}_{(n+1)l+\varepsilon}(e) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M} \bigsqcup_{\{\gamma \in G_j^{m+nl+\varepsilon,e}\}} G_i^{(n+1)l+\varepsilon,\gamma} \tag{5.15}$$

where $|G_i^{(n+1)l+\varepsilon,\gamma}| = r_{ij}$. Given any $\gamma = \lambda_{jq}^{nl+\varepsilon}(e) \in P(m+nl+\varepsilon, w_j)$, we will denote

$$G_i^{(n+1)l+\varepsilon,\gamma} = \{\lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+\varepsilon}(\gamma) \mid 1 \leq r \leq r_{ij}\}.$$

In analogy with (5.7), we can fix as definition

$$\lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+\varepsilon}(\lambda_{jq}^{nl+\varepsilon}(e)) := e \cdot \lambda_{ir}^{m}(\lambda_{jq}^{0}(r(e))). \tag{5.16}$$

Now, we take $e \in P(nl, v_k)$ with $s(e) = v_g$ and $s(\lambda_{jq}^{nl}(e)) = w_h$, and we compute that

$$\lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+1}(f_1^{h,\sigma^F(h)} \lambda_{jq}^{nl}(e)) =$$

equals by (Ex 2n)

$$= \lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+1}(\lambda_{jq}^{nl+1}(e_1^{g,\sigma^E(g)} e)) =$$

that by (5.16) equals

$$= e_1^{g,\sigma^E(g)} e \lambda_{ir}^{m}(\lambda_{jq}^{0}(v_k))) =$$

that again by (5.16) equals

$$= e_1^{g,\sigma^E(g)} \lambda_{ir}^{m+nl}(\lambda_{jq}^{nl}(e)).$$

So, we have proved that, given $\gamma \in G_j^{m+nl,e}$ with $s(e) = v_g$ and $s(\gamma) = w_h$,

$$\textbf{(Ex 2n+1)} \qquad \lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+1}(f_1^{h,\sigma^F(h)} \gamma) = e_1^{g,\sigma^E(g)} \lambda_{ir}^{m+nl}(\gamma).$$

In the same way as in the previous cases, we define, for $\gamma, \delta \in P(m+nl+\varepsilon, w_j)$

$$\psi_{m+nl+\varepsilon}(\gamma\delta^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{r=1}^{r_{ij}} \lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+\varepsilon}(\gamma)\lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+\varepsilon}(\delta)^*.$$

It is easy to show that $\psi_{m+nl+\varepsilon}$ is a unital morphism such that $K_0(\psi_{m+nl+\varepsilon}) = R$.

Now, given $e, f \in P(nl+\varepsilon, v_k)$, we compute

$$\psi_{m+nl+\varepsilon}\cdot\phi_{nl+\varepsilon}(ef^*) = \psi_{m+nl+\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{q=1}^{s_{jk}} \lambda_{jq}^{nl+\varepsilon}(e)\lambda_{jq}^{nl+\varepsilon}(f)^*\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{r=1}^{r_{ij}}\sum_{q=1}^{s_{jk}} \lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+\varepsilon}(\lambda_{jq}^{nl+\varepsilon}(e))\lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+\varepsilon}(\lambda_{jq}^{nl+\varepsilon}(f))^* =$$

which by (5.16) equals

$$= e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{r=1}^{r_{ij}}\sum_{q=1}^{s_{jk}} \lambda_{ir}^{m}(\lambda_{jq}^{0}(v_k))\lambda_{ir}^{m}(\lambda_{jq}^{0}(v_k))^*\right) f^* =$$

where the sum ranges through the set

$$\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{N}\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{M}\bigsqcup_{\{e\in G_j^{m,v_k}\}} G_i^{l,e} = s_l^{-1}(v_k)$$

(the last equality is because of (5.5)), and hence the above sum equals

$$= e\left(\sum_{\gamma\in s_l^{-1}(v_k)} \gamma\gamma^*\right) f^* = j^E_{nl+\varepsilon,(n+1)l+\varepsilon}(ef^*).$$

Finally, given $\gamma \in G_j^{m+nl,e_1}$, $\delta \in G_j^{m+nl,e_2}$, we compute that

$$\psi_{m+nl+1}\cdot\beta(\gamma\delta^*) = \psi_{m+nl+1}(f_1^{h_1,\sigma^F(h_1)}\gamma\delta^* f_1^{h_2,\sigma^F(h_2)^*}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{r=1}^{r_{ij}} \lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+1}(f_1^{h_1,\sigma^F(h_1)}\gamma)\lambda_{ir}^{m+nl+1}(f_1^{h_2,\sigma^F(h_2)}\delta)^* =$$

which by (Ex 2n+1) equals

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{r=1}^{r_{ij}} e_1^{g_1,\sigma^E(g_1)}\lambda_{ir}^{m+nl}(\gamma)\lambda_{ir}^{m+nl}(\delta)^* e_1^{g_2,\sigma^E(g_2)^*} = \alpha\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{r=1}^{r_{ij}} \lambda_{ir}^{m+nl}(\gamma)\lambda_{ir}^{m+nl}(\delta)^*\right) =$$

$$= \alpha\cdot\psi_{m+l}(\gamma\delta^*),$$

as desired.

The last step of the proof, consisting in defining the sets $G_j^{m+(n+1)l+\epsilon,\gamma}$ and the maps $\phi_{(n+1)l+\epsilon}$ satisfying the appropriate relations, is similar to the case $n = 0$ which we did before in detail. We left the details to the reader. □

6. C^*-ALGEBRAS AND GRADED ISOMORPHISMS

In this section we will explain how to extend the results of Section 4 to the context of C^*-algebras. For, we start by recalling the the notion of graded C^*-algebra [14, VIII.16.11].

Definition 6.1. Let A be a C^*-algebra, let G be a discrete group and let $(A_g)_{g\in G}$ be a collection of closed linear subspaces of A. We say that $(A_g)_{g\in G}$ is a grading for A if, for each $g, h \in G$ one has:

1. $A_g^* = A_{g^{-1}}$.
2. $A_g A_h \subseteq A_{gh}$.
3. The subspaces A_g are independent and A is the closure of the direct sum $\bigoplus\limits_{g\in G} A_g$.

In that case we say that A is a G-graded C^*-algebra. Each A_g is called a grading subspace.

In order to apply this definition to a graph C^*-algebra $C^*(E)$ over a graph E, let us borrow an strategy developed in [26]. Recall that, by [26, Proof of Theorem 7.3],

$$C^*(E) = \overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(E)} = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{s_\alpha s_\beta^* \mid \alpha, \beta \in E^* \text{ and } r(\alpha) = r(\beta)\}.$$

Also, there exists a gauge action $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \to \operatorname{Aut}(C^*(E))$ with $\gamma_z(p_v) = p_v$ for all $v \in E^0$ and $\gamma_z(s_e) = zs_e$ for all $e \in E^1$. Now, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$C^*(E)_n := \left\{a \in C^*(E) \mid \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{-n}\gamma_z(a)\, dz = a\right\},$$

where the integration dz is done with respect to a normalized Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}$.

We see that for an element $\lambda s_\alpha s_\beta^*$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{-n}\gamma_n(\lambda s_\alpha s_\beta^*)\, dz = \begin{cases} \lambda s_\alpha s_\beta^* & \text{if } |\alpha| - |\beta| = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus an element $\lambda s_\alpha s_\beta^* \in C^*(E)$ is in $C^*(E)_n$ if and only if $|\alpha| - |\beta| = n$, and $C^*(E)_n = \overline{L_{\mathbb{C}}(E)_n}$. It follows easily that $C^*(E)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded C^*-algebra in the sense of Definition 6.1.

Moreover, if

$$L_{\mathbb{C}}(E) = L_{\mathbb{C}}(E)_0[t_+, t_-; \alpha]$$

is the fractional skew monoid ring presentation, then α extends continuously to an *-endomorphism $\overline{\alpha} : C^*(E)^\gamma \to C^*(E)^\gamma$, so that it induces a presentation

$$C^*(E) = C^*(E)^\gamma \rtimes_{\overline{\alpha}} \mathbb{N}$$

as a Paschke's crossed product by the (corner) endomorphism $\overline{\alpha}$ (see [22]), where $C^*(E)^\gamma = C^*(E)_0$. Hence, the graded structure of $C^*(E)$ arises from $C^*(E)^\gamma \rtimes_{\overline{\alpha}} \mathbb{N}$ (as in the Leavitt path algebra case).

Finally, notice that $K_0(L_{\mathbb{C}}(E)) \cong K_0(C^*(E))$ [7, Theorem 3.5], and also that $K_0(L_{\mathbb{C}}(E)_0) \cong K_0(C^*(E)_0)$ (see e.g. [24]). Moreover, the lifting procedure performed in Section 5 works correctly in the C^*-algebra framework, both for matricial C^*-algebras and AF-algebras. Hence, we have all the necessary ingredients to adapt the proofs of Theorems 3.7 and 4.1 to the context of C^*-algebras. Thus, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 6.2. *Let E, F be finite graphs with neither sinks nor sources, let α, β the respective corner isomorphisms and let δ_A, δ_B be the automorphisms of $K_0(C^*(E)_0)$ defined above. Then, the following are equivalent:*

1. $A \sim_{SE} B$.
2. $(K_0(C^*(E)_0), K_0^+(C^*(E)_0))) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}[x,x^{-1}]} (K_0(C^*(F)_0), K_0^+(C^*(F)_0))$.
3. $(K_0(C^*(E)_0), K_0^+(C^*(E)_0), \alpha_*) \cong (K_0(C^*(F)_0), K_0^+(C^*(F)_0), \beta_*)$.
4. $(K_0(C^*(E)_0), K_0^+(C^*(E)_0), \delta_A) \cong (K_0(C^*(F)_0), K_0^+(C^*(F)_0), \delta_B)$.

Moreover, the result holds also in the canonical order-unit preserving category. □

Theorem 6.3. *Let E, F be finite graphs with neither sinks nor sources. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $(K_0(C^*(E)_0), K_0^+(C^*(E)_0), [1_{C^*(E)_0}]) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}[x,x^{-1}]} (K_0(C^*(F)_0), K_0^+(C^*(F)_0), [1_{C^*(F)_0}])$.
2. $C^*(E) \cong_{gr} C^*(F)$. □

Acknowledgments

Part of this work was done during a visit of the second author to the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (U.A.B., Spain) in the context of the Research Program "The Cuntz semigroup and the classification of C^*-algebras". The second author wants to thank the host center for its warm hospitality, and also to George Elliott for some interesting discussions about the topic of the paper.

References

[1] G. Abrams, G. Aranda Pino, The Leavitt path algebra of a graph, *J. Algebra* **293** (2005), 319–334.

[2] G. Abrams, G. Aranda Pino, Purely infinite simple Leavitt path algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **207** (2006), 553–563.

[3] G. Abrams, P. N. Ánh, A. Louly, E. Pardo, Flow invariants in the classification of Leavitt algebras, *J. Algebra* **333** (2011), 202–231.

[4] P. Ara, M. Brustenga, G. Cortiñas, K-theory of Leavitt path algebras, *Münster J. Math.* **2** (2009), 5–33.

[5] P. Ara, G. Cortiñas, Tensor products of Leavitt path algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[6] P. Ara, M.A. González-Barroso, K.R. Goodearl, E. Pardo, Fractional skew monoid rings. J. Algebra **278** (2004), no. 1, 104–126.

[7] P. Ara, M.A. Moreno, E. Pardo, Nonstable K-Theory for graph algebras, *Algebra Rep. Th.* **10** (2007), 157-178.

[8] P. Ara, E. Pardo, Stable rank of Leavitt path algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 2375–2386.

[9] G. Aranda Pino, E. Pardo, M. Siles Molina, Exchange Leavitt path algebras and stable rank, *J. Algebra* **305** (2006), 912–936.

[10] G. Aranda Pino, F. Perera, M. Siles Molina, eds., *Graph algebras: bridging the gap between analysis and algebra*, ISBN: 978-84-9747-177-0, University of Málaga Press, Málaga, Spain (2007).

[11] T. Bates, Applications of the gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem for the Cuntz-Krieger algebras of directed graphs, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **65** (2002), 57–67.

[12] M. Brustenga, "Àlgebres associades a un buirac", *Ph.D. Thesis*, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain), 2007.

[13] J. Franks, Flow equivalence of subshifts of finite type, *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **4** (1984), 53–66.

[14] J.M.G. Fell, R.S. Doran, *"Representations of *-algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach *-algebraic bundles"*, Pure and Applied Mathematics vol. 125 and 126, Academic Press, 1988.

[15] K. R. Goodearl "Von Neumann Regular Rings", Second Edition, Krieger, Malabar 1991.

[16] R. Hazrat, The graded Grothendieck group and the classification of Leavitt path algebras, *Math. Annalen* DOI 10.1007/s00208-012-0791-3; arXiv:1102.4088v3 [math.RA].

[17] R. Hazrat, The dynamics of Leavitt path algebras, arXiv:1209.2908v1 [math.RA].

[18] E. Kirchberg, The classification of purely infinite C*-algebras using Kasparov theory, preprint.

[19] W.G. Leavitt, The module type of a ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1962), 113–130.

[20] D. Lind, B. Marcus, "An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding", Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, Reprinted 1999 (with corrections). ISBN 0-521-55900-6.

[21] C. Năstăsescu, F. Van Oystaeyen, "Methods of graded rings", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1836, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[22] W.L. Paschke, The crossed product of a C*-algebra by an endomorphism, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1980), 113–118.

[23] N.C. Phillips, A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C*-algebras, *Doc. Math.* **5** (2000), 49-114.

[24] I. Raeburn, "Graph Algebras", CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., vol. 103, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[25] M. Rørdam, Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras, *K-Theory* **9** (1995), 31–58.

[26] M. Tomforde, Uniqueness theorems and ideal structure for Leavitt path algebras, *J. Algebra* **318** (2007), no. 1, 270–299.

[27] R.F. Williams, Classification of subshifts of finite type, *Ann. Math.* **98(2)** (1973), 120–153.

Departament de Matemàtiques, Facultat de Ciències, Edifici C, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain.
E-mail address: `para@mat.uab.cat`

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Cádiz, Campus de Puerto Real, 11510 Puerto Real (Cádiz), Spain.
E-mail address: `enrique.pardo@uca.es`
URL: `https://sites.google.com/a/gm.uca.es/enrique-pardo-s-home-page/`
URL: `http://www.uca.es/dpto/C101/pags-personales/enrique.pardo`